

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/701,259	11/04/2003	Peiguang Zhou	KCC-19,694	6079
7590 10/18/2005			EXAMINER	
Melanie I. Rauch			RONESI, VICKEY M	
Pauley Petersen	& Erickson			
Suite 365			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2800 West Higgins Road			1714	
Hoffman Estates, IL 60195			DATE MAILED: 10/18/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/701,259	ZHOU ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Vickey Ronesi	1714	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a		with the correspondence ac	ldress
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMU 1.1.136(a). In no event, however, may iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) N atute, cause the application to become	NICATION. (a reply be timely filed (ONTHS from the mailing date of this comes and the second secon	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _			
,			
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		atters, prosecution as to the	e merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde			
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application	ation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) = a		to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attac	hed Office Action or form P	TO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	•		
 Certified copies of the priority docum 			
2. Certified copies of the priority docum			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		een received in this Nationa	l Stage
application from the International Bu		and an entired	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies	not received.	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 Intervi	ew Summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	Paper	No(s)/Mail Date	_ .
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB		of Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date		 ·	

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-10, drawn to a composition, classified in class 524, subclass 270.
- II. Claims 11-24, drawn to a laminate, classified in class 442, subclass 149.
- III. Claims 25-35, drawn to a method of using the composition or method of making the laminate, classified in class 156, subclass 60.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as chewing gum and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product

:

Application/Control Number: 10/701,259

Art Unit: 1714

as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the composition can be used as a coating on a single substrate or as a chewing gum.

Inventions III and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the laminate can be prepared by spreading or spraying the elastomeric composition onto a substrate. The method claims have been kept together since they are considered to be patentably distinct.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Melanie Rauch on 10/12/2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1714

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vickey Ronesi whose telephone number is (571) 272-2701. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

10/13/2005

VASU JAGANNATHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
SUPERVISORY CENTER 1700